

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,490	02/28/2002	Patrick McMorris	003399.P088	2160
26529 BLAKELY SO	7590 05/31/2007 0KOLOFF TAYLOR & 7/4	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN/PDC 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR	JACOBS, LASHONDA T			
LOS ANGELE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		1.7			
Office Action Summary		10/086,490	MCMORRIS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TI. MAN INO DATE CO.	LaShonda T. Jacobs	2157		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
- THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVISION OF	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of to period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum, statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 March 2007.	•		
2a) <u></u>	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11-71 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-71 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey orrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage		
Attachmer					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date	(8) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Applicants RCE Amendment filed on March 8, 2007.

Claims 11, 27 and 39 have been amended. Applicants newly add claims 70 and 71. Claims 1169 are presented for further examination. Claims 70 and 71 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-24, 26-37, 39-40, 42-56 and 58-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger in view of Grandcolas et al (hereinafter, "Grandcolas", U.S. Pat. No. 7,137,006).

As per claim 11, Geiger discloses a method comprising:

- obtaining a first domain name provided by a client (col. 13, lines 14-22);
- retrieving a second domain name from a digital certificate (col. 13, lines 27-43);
- comparing the first domain name and the second domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63); and

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

• if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match, accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

• if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match, accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim 27, Geiger discloses a method comprising:

- obtaining a first domain name transmitted by a mobile device, the mobile device connected to a wireless network (col. 13, lines 14-22);
- retrieving a second domain name from a digital certificate transmitted by a secure server, the secure server located on a wired network, the wired network is coupled to the wireless network (col. 13, lines 27-43);
- comparing the first domain name and the second domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63); and

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

• if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match, accessing a data structure, the data structure comprising at least one domain name not matching to the first domain name, the at least one domain name corresponding to the first domain name and if present in the digital certificate indicates that the digital certificate was transmitted by a server referenced by the first domain name.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

• if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match, accessing a data structure, the data structure comprising at least one domain name not matching to the first domain name, the at least one domain name corresponding to the first domain name and if present in the digital certificate indicates that the digital certificate was transmitted by a server referenced by the first domain name (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim 39, Geiger discloses a method comprising:

• obtaining a first domain name transmitted by a mobile device, the mobile device connected to a wireless network (col. 13, lines 14-22);

Art Unit: 2157

• retrieving a second domain name from a digital certificate transmitted by a secure server, the secure server located on a wired network, the wired network is coupled to the wireless network by a proxy gateway (col. 13, lines 27-43);

- using a proxy gateway to compare the first domain name and the second domain name (col. 11, lines 29-38);
- searching the first field for a domain name matching the first domain name and searching the second field for a domain name matching the second domain name, the domain name from the second field corresponding to the domain name from the first field, a matching of domain name in the second field to the second domain name indicating that the digital certificate was transmitted by a server referenced by the first domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63); and
- allowing the mobile device to access contents of the server if the domain name from the second field matches the second domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63).

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

• if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match, using the proxy gateway to access a mapping table the mapping table located on the proxy gateway and comprising at least two fields, a second field of the at least two fields comprising at least one domain name corresponding to a domain name in a first field of the at least two fields.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

• if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match, using the proxy gateway to access a mapping table the mapping table located on the proxy gateway and comprising at least two fields, a second field of the at least two fields comprising at least one domain name corresponding to a domain name in a first field of the at least two fields (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim 49, Geiger discloses an apparatus comprising:

- means for obtaining a first domain name provided by a client (col. 13, lines 14-22);
- means for retrieving a second domain name from a digital certificate (col. 13, lines 14-22);
- means for comparing the first domain name and the second domain name (col.
 18, lines 45-63); and

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

means for accessing a data structure accessing a data structure to determine
 whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name if the first
 domain name and the second domain name do not match if the first domain name
 and the second domain name do not match.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

• means for accessing a data structure accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim 71, Geiger discloses a method comprising:

- obtaining a first domain name provided by a client on a wireless network (col. 13, lines 14-22);
- retrieving a second domain name from a digital certificate transmitted by a server on a wired network (col. 13, lines 27-43);
- comparing the first domain name and the second domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63); and

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

Art Unit: 2157

• if the first domain name is not the same as the second domain name, accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Page 8

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim **50**, Geiger discloses:

wherein the digital certificate is transmitted by a server on a wired network (col.
18, lines 452-60).

As per claim 59, Geiger discloses:

 wherein the client is a mobile device connected to a wireless network (col. 13, lines 14-22).

As per claim 60, Geiger discloses:

 wherein the digital certificate is transmitted by a server on a wired network, the wired network coupled to the wireless network by the processing system (col. 18, lines 452-60).

As per claims 14, 29, 40 and 61, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the wired network is Internet (col. 2, lines 56-65).

As per claims 15 and 62, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the server is a secure server (col. 2, lines 56-65).

As per claims, 18, 31, 53 and 65, Geiger discloses:

Art Unit: 2157

wherein the data structure comprises at least two fields (col. 8, lines 28-45 and col.
15, lines 18-45).

Page 9

As per claims 19, 32, 54 and 66, Geiger discloses:

• wherein a second field of the at least two fields comprises the at least one alternative domain name corresponding to a domain name in a first field of the at least two fields (col. 8, lines 28-45 and col. 15, lines 18-45).

As per claims 26 and 28, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the data structure is a mapping table (col. 14, lines 46-57).

As per claim 12, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the client is a mobile device connected to a wireless network (col. 13, lines 14-22).

As per claim 13, Geiger discloses:

wherein the digital certificate is transmitted by a server on a wired network (col.
 18, lines 45-52).

As per claims 20, 33, 55 and 67, Geiger further discloses:

• searching the first field for a domain name matching the first domain name and searching the second field for a domain name matching the second domain name, the domain name from the second field corresponding to the domain name from the first field (col. 18, lines 45-63).

As per claims 16, 21, 52, 56, 64 and 68, Geiger further discloses:

• allowing the client to access contents of the server if the first domain name and the second domain name match (col. 18, lines 45-63).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 17, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the data structure comprises at least one domain name not matching to the first domain name, the at least one domain name corresponds to the first domain

Page 10

name and if present in the digital certificate indicates that the digital certificate was transmitted by a server referenced by the first domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63).

As per claim 22, Geiger further discloses:

• allowing the client to access the server if the domain name from the second field matches the second domain name and a status of the first field and the second field is set to an allow status (col. 16, lines 29-41).

As per claim 23, Geiger further discloses:

• denying the client an access to the server if the domain name from the second filed does not match the second domain name (col. 16, lines 8-29).

As per claim 24, Geiger further discloses:

• denying the client an access to the server if a status of the first field and the second field is set to a deny status (col. 16, lines 8-29).

As per claims 30 and 34, Geiger further discloses:

• allowing the mobile device to access contents of the server if the first domain name and the second domain name match (col. 18, lines 45-63).

As per claim 35, Geiger further discloses:

• allowing the mobile device to access the server if the domain name from the second field matches the second domain name and a status of the first field and the second field is set to an allow status (col. 18, lines 45-63).

As per claim 36, Geiger further discloses:

• denying the mobile device an access to the server if the domain name from the second filed does not match the second domain name (col. 16, lines 8-29).

As per claim 37, Geiger further discloses:

• denying the mobile device an access to the server if a status of the first field and the second field is set to a deny status (col. 16, lines 8-29).

As per claim 42, Geiger discloses an apparatus comprising:

• a gateway coupling a wireless network to a wired network, the gateway configured to receive a request comprising a first domain name from a mobile device connected to the wireless network, the gateway further configured to transmit the request to a server, the server located on the wired network, the server configured to transmit a digital certificate comprising a second domain name to the gateway (col. 18, lines 45-63)

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

the gateway further configured to compare the first domain name and the second
domain name and to access a mapping table accessing a data structure to
determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name if
the first domain name and the second domain name do not match if the first
domain name and the second domain name do not match.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

Art Unit: 2157

Page 12

• the gateway further configured to compare the first domain name and the second domain name and to access a mapping table accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim 43, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the gateway is a proxy gateway (col. 11, lines 29-38).

As per claim 44, Geiger discloses:

wherein the gateway comprises the mapping table (col. 11, lines 29-38 and col. 14, lines 46-57).

As per claim 45, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the mapping table comprises at least two fields (col. 14, lines 46-57).

As per claim 46, Geiger discloses:

• wherein a second field of the at least two fields of the mapping table comprises at least one domain name corresponding to a domain name in a first field of the at least two fields (col. 14, lines 46-57).

As per claim 47, Geiger discloses:

Art Unit: 2157

• wherein the gateway configured to search the first field for a domain name matching the first domain name and the gateway further configured to search the second field for a domain name matching the second domain name, the domain name from the second field corresponds to the domain name from the first field (col. 18, lines 45-63).

Page 13

As per claim 48, Geiger discloses:

• wherein the gateway further configured to allow the mobile device to access the server if the domain name from the second field matches the second domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63).

As per claim 51, Geiger discloses:

 wherein the client is a mobile device connected to a wireless network, the
 wireless network is coupled to a wired network by a gateway (col. 13, lines 14-22).

As per claim 58, Geiger discloses a processing system comprising:

- a processor (col. 2, lines 56-65 and col. 4, lines 59-65); and
- a storage medium having stored therein instructions which, when executed by the processor (col. 2, lines 56-65 and col. 4, lines 59-65), cause the processing system to perform a method comprising:
 - 1. obtaining a first domain name entered by a client (col. 13, lines 14-22);
 - retrieving a second domain name from a digital certificate (col. 13, lines 14-22);

3. comparing the first domain name and the second domain name (col. 18, lines 45-63); and

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

 accessing a data structure accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

• accessing a data structure accessing a data structure to determine whether the first domain name is mapped to the second domain name if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match if the first domain name and the second domain name do not match (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

As per claim 63, Geiger discloses:

wherein the processing system is a proxy gateway (col. 11, lines 29-34 and col. 18, lines 452-60).

As per claim 71, Geiger discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above:

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

• allowing the client to access contents of the server if the first domain name is not the same as the second domain name.

Grandcolas discloses a method and system for single sign-on user to access to multiple web servers comprising:

• allowing the client to access contents of the server if the first domain name is not the same as the second domain name (col. 9, lines 46-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Geiger by incorporating or implement Grandcolas' teaching of tail matching for the purpose of comparing and matching domain attributes with the Internet domain in order to provide a user with authentication to web servers resources.

3. Claims 25, 38, 41, 57 and 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger in view of Grandcolas and in further view of Shuster et al (hereinafter, "Shuster", U.S. Pat. No. 6,687,746).

As per claims 25, 38, 41, 57 and 69, Geiger discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Geiger does not explicitly disclose:

• wherein the domain name from the second field supports wildcard characters.

Shuster discloses a system, apparatus and method for hosting and assigning domain names on a wide area network including:

wherein the domain name from the second field supports wildcard characters (col.
6, lines 16-24, col. 7, lines 50-67 and col. 8, lines 1-2).

Given the teaching of Shuster, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of wildcard DNS (wildcard characters) in order to identify and locate the top-level and second-level portion of the requested domain in a timely and efficient manner.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-71 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T. Jacobs whose telephone number is 571-272-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,490 Page 17

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShonda T Jacobs Examiner Art Unit 2157

ltj May 24, 2007 Lashmela Jacobs